

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
EASTERN DIVISION  
No. 4:16-CV-233-D

KELVIN J. DIXON, and  
STEPHANIE DIXON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PRUDENTIAL PRIME PROPERTIES,  
JODY TINGEN, PISTOL TINGEN,  
JEFF MATHIS, and  
DANNY HARRINGTON,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

On August 22, 2016, plaintiffs requested a preliminary injunction [D.E. 4]. A party seeking a preliminary injunction must show: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits of the underlying claim; (2) “that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief”; (3) “that the balance of equities tips in his favor”; and (4) that an injunction would be in the public interest. See Winter v. Nat’l Res. Def. Council, Inc., 515 U.S. 7, 20–23 (2008); Cantley v. W.V. Reg’l Jail and Corr. Facility Auth., 771 F.3d 201, 207 (4th Cir. 2014). Plaintiffs have not made the required showing. Thus, plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction [D.E. 4] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED. This 7 day of February 2017.

  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge